

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMEO INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/886,117	06/22/2001	Gianni Franco Alessio	34661/DOB/rf	4990
7	7590 07/18/2003			
MODIANO & ASSOCIATI Via Meravigli, 16 Milano, 20123		EXAMINER		
			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
ITALY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1732	6
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. Applicant(s)

ACC - A - C -	09/886,117	,,	ALESSIO	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner KUH~ S	Gr	oup Art Unit 1732	
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n th cover sheet ber	neath the corres	pondence address—	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO I	EXPIRE ONE	_ MONTH(S) FR	OM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, e Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	y within the statutory minir expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to	num of thirty (30) da n the mailing date o become ABANDON	ys will be considered timely. f this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133),	
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
☐ This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.0	or formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to th	e merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
		is/are pendi	ng in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)		is/are reject	ed.	
□ Claim(s)	_	· ·		
		are subject	to restriction or election	
Application Papers		requirement		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗆	disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner			
☐ The drawling(s) filed on is/are objected				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	(ർ).		
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–	(d).		
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under the second of th		(d).		
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under Mall □ Some* □ None of the: 	eived.			
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und ☒ All □ Some* □ None of the: ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	eived. eived in Application No			
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under All □ Some* □ None of the: ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been record □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been record 	eived. eived in Application No ave been received	•	·	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under Mall □ Some* □ None of the: ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recordered copies of the priority documents have been recordered. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recordered. 	eived. eived in Application No nave been received eureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a			
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under a claim for foreign priority u	eived. eived in Application No nave been received eureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a			
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under a claim for foreign priority documents have been received. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International B complete a claim for foreign priority under a claim for foreign p	eived. eived in Application No lave been received eureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)))	·	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under a claim for foreign priority u	eived. eived in Application No nave been received sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)) ervi w Summary	PTO-413	
□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und ☑ All □ Some* □ None of the: ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the priority documents have been receled □ Copies of the pri	eived. eived in Application No lave been received sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ervi w Summary	·	

Art Unit: 1732

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12 and 21, drawn to a method for molding composite items, classified in class 264, subclass 45.4.
- II. Claims 13-18, drawn to apparatus for molding composite items, classified in class425, subclass 4R.
- III. Claims 19-20, drawn to a helmet for cycling or skiing, classified in class 2, subclass 425.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as one in which beads which are not expanded or capable of being expanded are shaped.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product structure as claimed, or as imputed from the steps conducted, can be made by another

Serial Number: 09/886,117

Art Unit: 1732

and materially different process such as one in which a movable half mold is coupled with another half mold which has no capability of translatable movement.

Page 3

Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product structure as claimed, or as imputed from the apparatus structure employed, can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as one in which a movable half mold is able to be coupled with another half mold which has no capability of translatable movement.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art requiring divergent fields of search for the respective inventions, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (703) 308-3462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

Serial Number: 09/886,117 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino, can be reached on (703) 308-3853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

07-17-03